

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<u>/</u>/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,593 11/19/2003		11/19/2003	David Walter Flynn	550-488	6450	
23117	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON & 1100 N GLE		,	PRETLOW, DEMETRIUS R			
8TH FLOOI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22201-4714	2863			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 4 11 11				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/715,59	3	FLYNN, DAVID WALTER				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		1 =	R. Pretlow	2863				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHO THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be a to reply of the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication.)) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>19 November 20</i>) <u>03</u> .					
-								
3) 🗌								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are allowed.							
Applicati	on Papers	,						
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 19 November Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	$\frac{2003}{2000}$ is/are: a) \square action to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the cer	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/19/03</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 42, line 5, "desired data processing performance level operate" is unclear. It appears that something is missing.

In claim 42, line 5-7 "said desired data processing performance level having value linearly related to said desired data processing performance level", is unclear and is interpreted by the examiner as meaning a performance level related to itself.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cooper et al. (US 2004/0107369). The examiner interprets "said desired data processing performance level having value linearly related to said desired data processing performance level", meaning a performance level related to itself. Cooper et al. teach program instructions executable by said processor to set (change) a desired data

Art Unit: 2863

processing performance level to control one or more further circuits to support said desired data processing performance level operate, said desired data processing performance level. Note claims 11-16 and paragraphs 51-52.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-41 are allowed.

The best prior art of record, particularly Cooper et al. (US 2004/0107369) teach a power management technique uses system management interrupt (SMI) to manage performance states of logical processors in a physical processor. However Cooper et al. does not teach the following claim limitations.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 1-20 is the inclusion of the limitations of an a mapping circuit operable to map said performance level request signal into a control signal supplied to one or more further circuits to control operation of said one or more further circuits so as to support said desired data processing performance level of said processor such that said program instructions controlling generation of said performance level request signal are independent of how said one or more further circuits are controlled to meet said desired data processing performance level.. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 21-41 is the inclusion of the method steps of mapping with a mapping circuit said performance level request signal

Art Unit: 2863

into a control signal supplied to one or more further circuits to control operation of said one or more further circuits so as to support said desired data processing performance level of said processor such that said program instructions controlling generation of said performance level request signal are independent of how said one or more further circuits are controlled to meet said desired data processing performance level. It is this step found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Claim 43 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach wherein said desired data processing performance level has a value equivalent to a binary fraction of a maximum data processing performance level as it is claimed in the combination.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Demetrius R. Pretlow whose telephone number is (703) 272-2278. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2863

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Demetrius R. Pretlow

Patent Examiner

Denetries Prettos 3/15/05

Oli Clay G

MICHAEL NGHIEM

DIMARY EXAMINER